

CPBs, Procurement Digitalisation & Digital Tech Procurement — Competition Implications

Prof Albert Sanchez-Graells Professor of Economic Law Public Procurement Conference – centralization and new trends University of Copenhagen, 26 Apr 2023

Goal

To highlight governance implications of digitalisation and digital tech procurement by central purchasing bodies (CPBs), with an emphasis on competition issues

Full draft paper: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4376037



Double interaction

2017 EU Procurement Strategy included the two goals of procurement digitalisation and centralisation (as part of a broader push towards collaboration) These goals interact in (at least) two ways

- Digitalisation -> centralisation
- Digital tech acquisition -> centralisation



Main concern

bristol.ac.uk

Digitalisation and the related procurement of digital technologies push for further procurement centralisation, leading to

- Accumulation of (unbridled) digital regulation power in CPBs
- Heightened competition risks in both 'standard' and digital markets





Drivers for further procurement centralisation



Drivers of further centralisation

There are drivers for further centralisation in relation to:

- 1) extracting insights from big data;
- 2) building public digital capabilities, as well as adopting 'capability-replacing' AI; and
- 3) boosting procurement's regulatory gatekeeping potential (through the exercise of market power)



Big data insights and procurement centralisation

Growing strategic push for data analytics Despite initiatives such as the PPDS and new eForms, CPBs (very likely to) retain a 'data advantage' (at a minimum following *Antea Polska* and under ODD/DGA rules) Data advantage particularly meaningful for

'category management' and other 'ProcureTech' adoptions



Centralisation and public digital capabilities

Digitalisation requires a significant increase (and diversification) of digital skills within the public sector

Given generalised public sector digital capabilities gap, CPBs emerge as one of few institutions (potentially) capable of aggregating the required capabilities



'Capability-replacing' Al and centralisation

Potential implementations of digital technologies—especially Al—that could reduce administrative/expertise burden more likely viable/justifiable in a CPB context

- Chatbots
- Recommender systems
- Algorithmic screens



Centralisation and regulatory gatekeeping

Digital tech are largely unregulated (and will largely remain despite EU AI Act) (Meta-)regulation through public contracts requires avoiding the 'weak public buyer' problem when faced with concentrated/dominant tech offer

It however generates accumulation of regulatory power in CPBs





Competition implications



Competition implications

Higher levels of data analysis (enabling 'ProcureTech'), higher levels of skills and greater chances of regulating the technologies procured can attract use of CPB-managed FWAs and DPSs

This can have effects both in

- 'Standard' markets
- Digital markets



'Standard' markets

Digitalisation can lead to 'consumerisation' of contracting authorities and, in turn, to an extension of CPB remit and an increase in market volumes managed by CPBs

Demand concentration can have negative impacts on competition in the relevant markets, which requires market access management by the CPBs, and dynamic monitoring by competition authorities



Digital markets—data

Main competition implications:

(i) potential differential access to the data,

(ii) data exchanges that violated the rights of third parties in relation to competition sensitive information, or

(iii) data exchanges in violation of the competition rules on information exchange



Digital markets— (ancillary) data services

CPBs as (potential) providers of (ancillary) data services are necessarily conflicted in the management of access to 'their' procurement data – which can be necessary to develop solutions or for the direct provision of services



Digital markets—tech

CPBs can (inadvertently) raise barriers to access services and goods markets related to digital technology deployments (eg data or tech governance requirements) CPB-led standards could also impact on the relevant industries (lock-in management) Need for short FWAs (do they make sense?)

CPB-led timing of digital tech adoption





Conclusion



Proposed interventions

- 1. Consider assigning the management of access CPB-held data to a separate competent body under DGA (NCA?)
- 2. Reconsider subjecting CPBs to competition law, and design dynamic monitoring mechanisms
- 3. Regulate public sector digital tech use through an independent authority and mandatory requirements



Thank you for your attention & stay in touch





a.sanchez-graells@bristol.ac.uk

